SUMMARY OF ILLICIT ACTIONS

The attempt to shift responsibility from the previous owner and the goat farmer to the new owner, the 76 OLD retired UN diplomat researcher in ecological restoration is plagued by series of illegal actions, manipulations of facts, intimidations and withholding of information.

The malpractice consist of;

1. Prejudiced exercise of the office.

All instances i.e. Landskrona Environment Protection and legal supervisor Legal Unit of County Skåne attempt to fulfill the goals of Landskrona's Environment Protection Units which aim to shift, in a clandestine way, the responsibility to the new owner what could indicate a case of corruption.

Mr. Glen Björne during 2017-2022 was sentenced repeated times for the contamination of property during the period 2000-2017.

The authorities tolerated that the previous owner Glenn Björne transferred his property worth SEK 2.5 millions to his son in order to avoid payment for the de-contamination what we have several times addressed. Hence MALA FIDE, see below.

From 2000-2017, Mr. Glenn Björne, the previous property owner, operated a goat farm and contaminated the manure with silage plastic. The new owner purchased the property in 2017.

2. malpractice, violation of the legal order

The lower instance ignore the valid verdict of the highest court Mark och Miljödomstolen and try to shift the responsibility to the new owner contradicting the previous assessments and judgments. I Anglo-Saxon terminology it is "contempt for court".

3. Discriminative ruling

All new assessments from authorities only involve only the new owner. The previous owner, Mr. Glenn Björne, who has valid verdicts from 2017-2022 to clean up plastics and pay fines, is not mentioned.

This is a biased judgment and goes against acceptable legal practices and constitute a corruption as Glenn Björne is exonerated from responsibility and payment of 2023 inspection fees.

on 22 April 2024 13:45 in a letter I ask Mr. Jesper Jacobssen head County Skåne if there are two culpable now, why only me who has to pay for the inspection.

The instances in illegally make assumption that if someone is not able to pay fine at the time to it will not be able to pay it forever. This breaches all legal principle.

4. Exclusion of facts.

The previous owner, Mr. Glenn Björne, with a valid verdict, is not considered in the new assessment of contamination responsibility. Legal authorities does not acknowledge that the new owner is not involved in agriculture or animal farming

LIE: The authorities insist that the owner new that the plastic was left on the property.

the fact that we have not taken over operation of a goat farm is excluded. They also fail to mention that the Landskrona Environment Protection provided at the purchase a document confirming that the farm is clean.

5. Manipulation of evidence, lies.

All instances perpetuate the lie that Ecolanum engages in agricultural activities, which is false. Ecolanum actually is rewilding the landscape to create a Botanical Landscape showcasing traditional wild Ven landscapes which existed on the island for 400-1400.

We are implementing "The EU nature restoration law" by REWILDING. The name ECOLANUM is used in order to give us and identity/branding. we let our property to rewild i.e. let the nature takeover. No agricultural or any other physical activities take place.

LIE, that the new owner conducts agriculture activities, No agricultural or any other physical activities take place

There is no agricultural activity involved, as rewilding involves allowing nature to re-establish itself. Ecolanum AB is only research identity 'Ecolanum accounts as follow financial turnover ZERO, income ZERO, and expenditures ZERO.

from the 2023 company reporting, (2023 redovisning) it is stated as follows;

"The current activates have scientific and information exchange and information gathering character."

DISONEST/ILLIGAL, MALA FIDE: Only bad-faith person can conclude that the owner at the age of 76 years without any employees, expenditure, income and external expenses, as stated in the account statement, will be able to create any other garden than rewilding, i.e. letting the nature takeover the land according to the EU directives

6. Misjudgment and manipulation of causes and conclusions.

The new owner had not caused and is not causing any contamination with silage plastic. The legal text explicitly bind the responsibility to the agent causing the contamination. It is a manipulation to shift responsibility to the new owner who has no legal activity yet all assessment texts place the blame on the individuals causing the contamination.

and all legal texts put responsibility on the persons who is causing the contamination.

We are not responsible for contaminating the environment with silage plastic,

7. Acting in "mala fide

The involved authorities act deceitfully in order to force predetermined assessments and verdicts. Their hostile and extreme actions stem from our longstanding criticism of the authorities' failure to prevent contamination. Additionally, the previous owner transferred property valued at SEK 2.5 million to his son to avoid paying for the removal of silage plastics.

CONCLUSSION All of the mentioned circumstances constitute a miscarriage of justice and a corrupt misuse of administrative procedures, which I have witnessed in other countries during my work as a UN diplomat in the field of nuclear safety particularly inspection of nuclear materials.